1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (South Carolina Bar No. 9990)			
2	United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON (California Bar No. 88143)			
	Chief, Civil Division			
3	LETITIA R. KIM (California Bar No. 200142)			
	Assistant United States Attorney			
4	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055			
5				
	Telephone: (415) 436-7181			
6	Facsimile: (415) 436-6748			
_	Email: letitia.r.kim@usdoj.gov			
7	Attorneys for Defendants			
8				
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	OAKLAND DIVISION			
11				
12	MICHAEL L. OKSNER, et al.  Case No. C 07-2273 SBA			
14	Plaintiffs,			
13				
	V.	TED.		
14	) PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO DEF MARION C. BLAKEY, et al., ) FORMAL ADR PROCESS	EK		
15		) FORMAL ADK FROCESS		
	Defendants.			
16				
17	Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute			
• /	Lach of the undersigned certifies that he of she has read either the handbook entitled. Dispute			

Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit from any of them. Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process at this time will not be beneficial because Defendants' motion to dismiss is pending and is currently scheduled to be heard on October 2, 2007. The parties request to defer formal ADR process until after the Court has ruled on the pending motion to dismiss. If the motion to dismiss is granted in full, the case will be dismissed and there will be no need for ADR. If the motion is denied, the parties will confer about ADR at that point. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program at this time, and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any further formal ADR process until after the

Parties' Joint Request to Defer ADR C 07-2273 SBA

## Casease7:07-02/2072258ASBAD door one mental 1417 Filled 008//26//20707Page age 1220f 2

1	Court rules on Defendants' motion to dismiss.		
2	Dated: August 16, 2007	Respectfully submitted,	
3		SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney	
5			
6	j	/s/ LETITIA R. KIM	
7	,	Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendants	
8			
9	Dated: August 16, 2007	/s/ ANTHONY P.X. BOTHWELL	
10		Attorney for Plaintiff	
11	ORDER		
12	Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any further formal ADR process until after the Court rules on Defendants' pending motion to dismiss. <b>SO ORDERED.</b>		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	, <u> </u>		
18		HON. SAUNDRA BROWN ARDSTRONG	
19		United States District Judge	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	·		
25	3		
26			
27			
28			
	Parties' Joint Request to Defer ADR		

2

C 07-2273 SBA